



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,726	11/24/2003	Adam G. Wolff	LZLO-01006US0	2756
28554 7590 02/12/2009 Vierra Magen Marcus & DeNiro LLP 575 Market Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, CA 94105				
EXAMINER				
CHEN, QING				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2191				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/12/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/720,726		WOLFF ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Qing Chen		2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Qing Chen. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) Scott D. Sanford (Reg. No. 51,170). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 7,191,441.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the differences between the claimed invention and prior art. Applicant proposed to amend the claims to further clarify the inventive subject matter. Examiner will take Applicant's amendment into consideration when the formal amendment is received by the Office.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Wei Y Zhen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191
--	------------------------------------------------------------